Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)
	en/	09/787,195	DAME	S ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Un	it
		Elizabeth Quan	1743	
All Participants:		Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) Elizabeth Quan.		(3)		
(2) <u>B. Aaron Schulman</u> .		(4)		•
Date of Interview: 21 April 2004		Time:		
	ີ Applicar No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT	「WAS DISCU	SSED:
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a cord of the substance	written sumn	nary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/A	Applicant's Representat	ive Signature	– if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified the Attorney that a second lack of unity is required in which references would demonstrate that the solid support of claim 1 does not make a contribution over the prior art, such that unity is lacking and restriction is appropriate between the device of claims 1-10 and method of making of claims 11-16. Attorney indicated that a written lack of unity should be sent along with the prior art to show his client..